UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,522	09/14/2004	Hidetoshi Hamamoto	2004-1425A	1134
	7590 05/13/201 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Stree Suite 400 East		WEBB, WALTER E		
Washington, Do	ℂ 20005-1503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/507,5	22	HAMAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
			E. WEBB	1612			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e- tation. Try period will apply and v by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tilt vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this (ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 27 January 20°	10.				
		☐ This action is					
3)	Since this application is in condition for	— allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	osecution as to th	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,13,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/2010</u> .	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 1/27/2010, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103--previous

Claim 1, 2, 6, 7 and 13-16 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizobuchi et al. (WO 1998/058651 using US 6,268,355 as English Translation) in view of Knutson (US 4,401,651).

Applicant argues that it is important that the **preparation** be in a sol (uncrosslinked) state before use in order for the composition to absorb exudation in a wounded area of the skin. However, it is noted that the instant claims do not require the **preparation** to be in a sol state, but the <u>water soluble polymer</u>. Claim 1 clearly states, "the water soluble polymer in the preparation is in sol state before use, and then the water soluble polymer simultaneously shows phase transition to gel after the preparation absorbs exudation in a wounded area of the skin."

Applicant argues that the composition of Mizobuchi et al. is already in sol state prior to use and is therefore distinguished from the instant invention. In support of this conclusion applicant states that polyacrylic acid, unlike sodium polyacrylate, dissolves in glycerin. In doing so, the polyacrylic acid would react with the crosslinking agent to afford a gel. However, it appears that this based on pure speculation as there is no

Application/Control Number: 10/507,522 Page 3

Art Unit: 1612

evidence presented that this reaction in fact takes place in the composition of Mizobuchi et al. Nevertheless, while polyacrylic acid is listed as an ingredient in Table 4, it is not the only water-soluble polymer. Polyvinylpyrrolidone, also a water-soluble polymer, is listed as an ingredient in the composition of Table 4, and meets the limitation of claim 1. This water-soluble polymer may be substituted with **sodium polyacrylate**, as per claim 6, insofar as Mizobuchi et al. recognizes their equivalency as viscosity increasers (see col. 3, lines 41-43). Mizobuchi et al. also recognizes the function of sodium polyacrylate as a water absorber insofar as it states "a high molecular weight compound being able to contain water, such as polyacrylate acid sodium" (see col. 3, lines 60-61). Even if the polyacrylic acid produces a gel, there is no reason to assume that the polyvinylpyrrolidone or sodium polyacrylate of Mizobuchi would not be able to show phase transition to gel after the preparation absorbs exudation in a wounded area of the skin.

Applicant takes the position that the term "ointment" in the preamble must be taken into consideration in determining the patentability over the prior art. However, it is noted that "ointment" has not been defined such that the composition of Mizobuchi et al. does not qualify. Applicant gives an example of an ointment composition in the specification at page 24, Table 2:

Application/Control Number: 10/507,522 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

Table 2

Ingredients	Preparation No. 4	Preparation No. 5
sodium polyacrylate	14	10
carmellose sodium	10	10
aluminum lactate		3
magnesiu maluminometasilicate	1	
synthetic hydrotalcite	0.1	
white soft sugar	10	
potassium iodide	1	1
malic acid	1.4	
tartaric acid	2	2
iodine	1	1
macrogol	residue	residue

. It appears that the addition of

macrogol is what qualifies the preparation as an ointment. If this is true, the composition of Table 4 of Mizobuchi et al. also qualifies as an "ointment" since it too comprises macrogol. At the moment, it is unclear how the recitation of "ointment" in the preamble necessarily limits the structure of the claimed composition such that the composition of Mizobuchi et al. fails to read on the instant claims. Applicant implies that "ointment" is equivalent to "sol state before use" (see Remarks pg. 6, last line). However, this would also imply that the powder formulation of the instant invention that is also described as "sol state before use" qualifies as an ointment.

Lastly, applicant argues that the present invention has "a superior property of being easily separated substantially as a mass after use" and that the gel material formed after use advantageously has a high strength as compared to a conventional gel preparation. However, the present invention has not been compared to the closes prior art. Since the composition of Mizobuchi et al. comprises a water-soluble polymer in an

Art Unit: 1612

amount greater than 2% of the composition, it would reasonably be expected possess the properties recited above.

Even if, applicant's data supported an unexpected result, the instant claims are not commensurate in scope. Whether the unexpected results are the result of unexpectedly improved results or a property not taught by the prior art, the "objective evidence of nonobviousness must be commensurate in scope with the claims which the evidence is offered to support." In other words, the showing of unexpected results must be reviewed to see if the results occur over the entire claimed range. It is not clear whether the results occur over the entire range of types of water-soluble polymers, crosslinking agents, sugars or fluidization agents.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,522 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter E. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 270-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:00pm Mon-Fri EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick F. Krass can be reached (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Walter E. Webb/ /Walter E Webb/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612

/Frederick Krass/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612